on filing, we will try to give Members as much notice as possible. If I may ask the Members, if they will check the whip notice, perhaps even before they retire for the evening, we will certainly make every effort. Some folks will be driving and traveling. We want to be sure that everyone has an opportunity to make that vote. I do appreciate the gentleman's inquiry.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, will the

gentleman yield?

Mr. ARMĚY. I yield to the gentleman

from New York.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, if I might remind the Members that we are going to have a Committee on Rules meeting right now to deal with some procedure resolutions so we can get out of here tomorrow, if possible, right

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I thank

the Chair.

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to call up the conference report to accompany the Senate bill (S. 1004) to authorize appropriations for the U.S. Coast Guard, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House

The Clerk read the title of the Senate

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gen-

tleman from Pennsylvania?

Mr. OBERSTAR. Reserving the right to object, Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. SHUSTER], chairman of the committee, for a brief explanation of the item concerning tort reform. Is the final language what we had agreed upon subsequent to the conference?

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, that is my understanding. This is the conference report that we agreed upon.

Mr. OBERSTAR. Mr. Speaker, so I have that language. We are comfortable with it, and with the gentleman's assurance that that is the language.

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, that is

correct.

Mr. OBERSTAR. Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

□ 1800

Mr. NADLER. Reserving the right to object, Mr. Speaker, could I ask is there any language in this bill regarding Governors Island?

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, will the

gentleman yield?

Mr. NADLER. I yield to the gentleman from Pennsylvania.

Mr. SHUSTER. No, it is not in this

conference report.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Pennsylvania very much.

Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reserva-

tion of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HASTINGS of Washington). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the conference report be considered as read.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

(For conference report and statement see immediately preceding proceedings of the House.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. SHU-STER] will be recognized for 30 minutes, and the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. OBERSTAR] will be recognized for 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. SHUSTER]. Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield

myself such time as I might consume. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of the conference report, Bipartisan Authorization Act of 1996. I want to thank all the conferees as well as the Senate conferees for their cooperation in reaching a fair compromise on this important legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of

my time

Mr. OBERSTAR. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume. (Mr. OBERSTAR asked and was given

permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. OBERSTAR. Mr. Speaker, this is a landmark day. It has been 5 years since the House has had a Coast Guard authorization bill ready to be sent to the President. This bill does that.

Mr. Speaker, this is a landmark day. It has been 5 years since the House has had a Coast Guard Authorization bill that is ready to

be sent to the President.

S. 1004, the Coast Guard Authorization Act of 1996, authorizes funding for the Coast Guard for fiscal years 1996 and 1997 for their many missions: search and rescue; providing aids-to-navigation; drug interdiction; fisheries enforcement; icebreaking; marine pollution prevention and response; and commercial and recreational vessel safety.

The House first passed its version of this legislation, H.R. 1361, way back in May of 1995. The Senate passed S. 1004 in November 1995. The House requested a conference on the Senate bill in February 1996 and the Senate finally agreed to go to conference in July. Mr. Speaker, it has been a long and arduous process. Everyone has had to reach deeply to achieve a compromise that a consensus of the Members can support. On balance, this is a very good piece of legislation.

Not only does it provide funding for the Coast Guard, but it improves their personnel management system, improves our marine safety laws, provides clear authority for the Coast Guard Auxiliary, implements the administration's proposal for streamlining the Coast Guard's regulatory system for commercial vessels, provides for the safer operation of towing vessels, conveys many lighthouses whose grounds will no longer need to be maintained by the Coast Guard, decreases the cost of financing U.S.-flag ships which will benefit both our vessel owners and our shipyards, and many other programmatic improvements to our Coast Guard laws.

I would like to thank the leadership of our committee, our distinguished chairman, Mr. SHUSTER, as well as Mr. COBLE and Mr. CLEM-

ENT for their outstanding work on this bill and for their dedication to improving the Coast Guard and all of our maritime programs.

Mr. Speaker, I strongly urge my colleagues to support passage of the conference report on S. 1004, the Coast Guard Authorization Act of 1996

Ms. HARMAN. Mr. Speaker, included as a provision in the Coast Guard Authorization Conference Report is the California Cruise Ship Act, which I and other members of the California delegation re-introduced earlier this Congress to help our State's tourism industry.

Currently under the Johnson Act, a cruise ship that makes an intrastate stop is subject to State law even if that ship travels in international waters and is destined for another State or foreign country. Using this loophole and its authority to regulate gambling, States like California prohibit gambling aboard these

ships.

The provision included in this conference report, and which passed both the House and Senate in our respective Coast Guard authorization bills, would allow gambling on internationally-bound cruises and cruises bound for another State. It does not result in the expansion of gambling on the mainland, which remains under State control. Instead, the provision simply amends the Johnson Act to allow Federal control over voyages that begin and end in the same State so long as part of the voyage is to another country or another State within 3 days of leaving State waters.

This issue is of great interest of the citizens of San Pedro and Catalina Islands whom I represent. According to Catalina's Chamber of Commerce, the city of Avalon itself loses \$1.5 million annually in canceled port visits be-

cause of the existing restriction.

Similarly, the city of San Diego, from which many cruises originate, is affected. That's why Lynn Schenk, my friend and colleague who was elected with me in 1992, introduced the original California Cruise Ship Act. Her measure passed the House in the 103d Congress, but was not considered in the other body.

Today's action, and the final enactment of the California Cruise Ship Act, is a tribute to her dedicated efforts and perseverance.

I strongly support this provision and thank the members of the Transportation Committee and the Coast Guard Subcommittee for their help in moving this important change forward toward enactment.

Mr. OBERSTAR. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the conference report.

There was no objection.

The conference report was agreed to. The motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

## MAKING IN ORDER THE CALL OF THE PRIVATE CALENDAR

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the call of the Private Calendar be in order at this time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.